Five performance factors affect the life of a mechanical seal and guide the determination of an optimum replacement cycle for that seal. They also lead to improved seal life and mean time between repair (MTBR), thereby reducing the Life Cycle Cost (LCC) of the pump population.

Last month we reviewed the first two of these factors. Here are the other three.

Seal Application Limits

The third factor is published mechanical seal application limits, typically defined by pressure, speed and temperature, are prone to misinterpretation.

Most seal manufacturers say that operation of the seal at one of these limits will result in a minimum life span of two years; three years with some seal types such as those specified in Standard API 682. The published limits, while reliable for steady state operation, may be overstated or unreliable for cyclic operation. An exception may be API 682 seals, which specifically address that shortcoming by including a series of cyclic tests to qualify a specific seal type for a range of services.

Generally, no fixed rules exist on how much particular transient-pressure, speed, temperature, gas or solid entrapment, or vibration a seal can tolerate. Expert advice is needed in this area.

Seal Face Deflection

The amount a seal face deflects during a transient depends on several factors, including the magnitude and rate of the transient, and the robustness or strength of the seal face. The bottom line is that seal face deflections occur as operating conditions change and may either improve or starve lubrication of the seal faces. A reliable seal is one having a low degree of sensitivity to the expected or unexpected transients. The sensitivity of a seal can be defined by its ability to maintain more or less parallel seal faces during all possible transients.

Sensitivity can also be controlled by properly selecting the materials and the environmental control system. For example, seals with hard/hard face combinations, such as tungsten carbide (WC) and silicon carbide (SiC), which are preferred for dirty fluids or in some cases for high pressures, are very vulnerable to complete or partial loss of the fluid film. Any type of damage to these materials, when run against each other, is typically unrecoverable and only gets worse as time progresses.

New face materials and treatment technologies, such as diamond coatings, promise significant improvement in this area. On the other hand, hard/soft combinations with carbon-graphite as the wearing material offer the distinctive advantage that they can carry much higher loads, sustain longer periods of inadequate lubrication and, most importantly, more readily recover after damage to the carbon-graphite face.

Silicon carbide against a hard carbon-graphite is generally accepted to have one of the highest load capability limits of the readily available materials, best tolerates dry running and, therefore, should be the preferred material combination for many applications.

Figure 1. Robust seal face for demanding applications.
**Limiting Application Parameters**
Without question, an application becomes more difficult and risky when using a larger shaft diameter, faster speed, and higher temperature, pressure and solids content. It is imperative that the robustness of the faces and their ability to dissipate heat efficiently are optimized accordingly.

In many tough applications, it may be wise to consider custom engineered mechanical seals that are designed specifically to deal with unusual events or predefined operating modes of the equipment. Different face designs and lubrication technologies can be selected to increase the life of the faces.

Seal life well in excess of three years is possible for the large majority of applications. The seal face shown in Figure 1 is an example of a robust design that is used in high pressure or speed applications with the ability to handle severe transients and periods of inadequate lubrication. The carbon-graphite face is shrunk-fit into a metal housing and reinforced at the inside diameter with a hardened steel ring. The API 682 and Hydraulic Institute publication *Mechanical Seals for Pumps: Application Guidelines* offers good rules for specifying an engineered seal.

**Leakage requirements**
The fourth factor is all face seals must leak a minute amount to sustain an adequate lubricating film during all operating modes.

**Fluid Sealing Association**
*Sealing Sense* is produced by the Fluid Sealing Association as part of our commitment to industry consensus technical education for pump users, contractors, distributors, OEMs, and reps. As a source of technical information on sealing systems and devices, and in cooperation with the European Sealing Association, the FSA also supports development of harmonized standards in all areas of fluid sealing technology. The education is provided in the public interest to enable a balanced assessment of the most effective solutions to pump technology issues on rational Total Life Cycle Cost (LCC) principles.

The Mechanical Seal Division of the FSA is one of five with a specific product technology focus. As part of their educational mission, they develop publications such as the *Mechanical Seal Handbook*, a primer intended to complement the more detailed manufacturer’s documents produced by the member companies. Joint FSA/ESA publications such as the *Seal Forum*, a series of case studies in pump performance, are another example as is the *Life Cycle Cost Estimator*, a web-based software tool for determination of pump seal total Life Cycle Costs (LCC). More recently, the *Sealing Systems Matter* initiative has been launched. It is directed to support of the case for choosing mechanical seals that optimize life cycle cost, safety, and environmental compliance.

The following members of the Mechanical Seal Division sponsor this Sealing Sense series:
- Advanced Sealing International (ASI)
- Ashbridge & Roseburgh Inc.
- A.W. Chesterton Co.
- Daikin America, Inc.
- DuPont Performance Elastomers LLC
- EagleBurgmann Industries LP
- Flex-A-Seal, Inc.
- Flowserve Flow Solutions Div. - Seal Group
- Garlock Sealing Technologies
- Greene Tweed & Co./Palmetto, Inc.
- Industrias Vago de Mexico SA de CV
- John Crane
- KC America
- Latty International S.A.
- Metallized Carbon Corp.
- Morgan AM&T
- Parker Hannifin – Seal Group
- PPC Mechanical Seals
- Sealing Equipment Products Co., Inc.
- Simrit - Div. of Freudenberg-NOK
approach. Each differs in their ability to cope with unusual events, and the best choice is not always evident.

**Seal Environment**

Finally, the seal is only as reliable as the environment in which it operates.

The operating environment can be manipulated or controlled by selecting and applying a suitable system from a wide choice of methods – and that is almost as important as the seal itself. Most seals need a flushing system to evacuate the heat developed by the seal. If this system malfunctions it may cause problems. “A cool seal is a happy seal” remains true for the majority of applications.

Some fluids may change state as pressure or temperature changes. In the seal chamber the faces are exposed to the suction or discharge conditions of the pump, while at the seal face gap the fluid pressure reduces and its temperature increases. At the exit of the seal faces, the pressure and temperature are close to ambient. The point here is that as pressure and temperature change, the fluid properties may be significantly altered and possibly cause trouble for the seal if not addressed when selecting the seal and control system.

Environmental control systems can be applied to prevent or minimize the negative affect of certain transients, remove the frictional heat of the faces, reduce the presence of solids in the flush flow, improve conditions to prevent vaporization or freezing of the fluid. Most importantly, they minimize the consequences of a failure to the surrounding environment.

A wide choice of flush plans is included in ANSI, API and ISO standards. The variety of seal types and materials, combined with a wide array of flush plans, can make seal selection quite challenging. Helpful hints and tools, such as the FSA Seal Life Cycle Cost Estimator, can be found on www.fluidsealing.com. This tool can compare a wide variety of seal types and systems to aid with effective seal system selection.

Selecting the “Most Effective Sealing Technology” requires a sound understanding of what drives seal performance behavior . . . not only under normal operating conditions, but more importantly, under abnormal conditions.

**Next Month:** When should I use braided PTFE packing and in what form?

We invite your questions on sealing issues and will provide best efforts answers based on FSA publications. Please direct your questions to: sealingquestions@fluidsealing.com.
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