The petroleum and chemical industries have been looking for meaningful standards that will enable the qualification of valves that meet the increasingly stringent fugitive emission regulations, which have increased since the 1990s. The focus has been on the main source of the emissions—valve stem packing. Several companies have evaluated current industry standards and have crafted testing protocols specific to their application requirements. However, as the industry moves forward, end users and valve manufacturers should consider using a standard that best fits their needs.

ISO Standard

The International Standards Organization (ISO) developed the ISO-15848-1 and 2. This two-part standard used information from a wide range of industry sources. The standard incorporates the testing of commodity valves as well as control valves. Industrial valves—measurement, test and qualification procedures for testing fugitive emissions of industrial valves—are described.

Part 1 addresses a classification system and qualification procedures for type testing of valves while Part 2 covers production acceptance testing of valves.

Part 1

Included in Part 1 is the testing of completely assembled valves using vacuum, bagging or sniffing (EPA Method 21), depending on which annex is used and the type and class of the valve. The standard uses helium or methane as the test fluid and only permits one adjustment to the valve packing every performance cycle.

Valves are typically tested vertically with horizontal as an option, with cycling rates based on the type of valve—isolating or control. Stem packing leakage is categorized as A, B, C and D, for both helium and methane, with A the lowest.

The amount of acceptable leakage is predetermined by the manufacturer or purchaser, and the difference between the leakage of helium and methane is not correlated. The numbers of mechanical cycles for isolating valves are categorized as CO1 – 500, CO2 – 1500 and CO3 – 2500. Mechanical classes for control valves are CC1 – 20,000, CC2 – 60,000 and CC3 – 100,000. Temperature classes range between -196 C and 400 C, with combinations of testing to establish the final ranges for service.

Part 2

This acceptance test defines a method for evaluating valves at the manufacturer’s site of valves already tested in Part 1. The procedure gives direction to the manufacturer and purchaser.
as to the required percentage of valves to be tested per production lot. It also identifies helium as the only permitted fluids and provides a specification of 6 bar internal pressure.

It is a room temperature test that requires that the valve be operated half open and then fully closed, leak checked and then cycled fully for five cycles with a final check for leakage at half open. Whether the valve passes is based on the required leak limits for the categories in ISO 15848-1 but with sniffing as the method of taking the readings.

Both parts are currently undergoing revision, and the final standard is expected to be published sometime later this year. The key changes are the addition of leakage rates for methane, the addition of category D, changes to the number of cycles required to qualify a commercial valve and a few others.

API Standards

Two American Petroleum Industry (API) standards must also be considered.

API 622

API developed Standard API 622 in response to the needs of the petroleum and chemical industries. The first edition of API 622 was designed to test fugitive emissions of valve packing, but it also allowed the valve company or packing manufacturer to test a completed valve.

The test has undergone revision during 2011, and the second edition was published in November 2011. The new edition eliminated the use of a standard valve and now requires the test facility to use a test device or rig that is designed to simulate a 4-inch, Class 300 rising or rising-rotating valve.

The test requires five thermal cycles from ambient temperature to 260 C (500 F) with 1,510 mechanical cycles, using methane as the test gas. Each set of ambient and thermal cycles is divided into 300 cycles per day and a final 10 cycles where the final leakage measurement is taken. Another key component of the standard’s improvement was the introduction of an oxidation test of the flexible graphite packing material.

This test specifies a limit of 15 percent oxidation during the 24-hour test and follows the procedure in Standard FSA-G-604-07, Method B. Other parts of the second edition cover ambient and elevated temperature corrosion, along with packing material composition and property evaluations. The leakage limit of the test is 500 parts per million (ppm) with a limit of one adjustment.

API 624

With the removal of assembled valves from the second edition of API 622, API has decided to develop a new standard to cover rotating and rising-rotating stem valves. The new standard is API 624: Type Testing of Rising Stem Valves Equipped with Flexible Graphite Packing for Fugitive Emissions. Currently under development, the standard will use EPA Method 21 as a basis for the evaluation of fugitive emissions, with the leakage limit set at 100 ppm methane. It requires that the tested valve packing be previously tested according to API 622 and be suitable for use at service temperatures –29 C to 538 C (–20 F to 1,000 F).

The draft document currently requires that the valve be selected for testing by a test lab or end user. For valves not in stock, the manufacturer must certify that the test valve was not modified in any way to meet type test requirements and is a typical representation of the manufacturer’s stock product. The preferred stem orientation is horizontal as it is seen that this will provide for additional load on the stem seal.

The test procedure requires 310 mechanical cycles and three thermal cycles to 260 C (500 F). The testing covers both API 600 and API 602 valves, for the full size range.

As with ISO 15848-1, the valve is required to be disassembled after testing. The sealing materials must be photographed and the valve components evaluated. Any change of packing
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manufacturer, type or model of packing or changes to the valve design that affect sealing performance requires valve retesting.

Conclusions
Both standards ISO 15848 (Parts 1 and 2) and API 622 have been in use for evaluations of valves and/or packing. The new API 624 will likely be published by the end of this year. Each standard has significant following, depending on end-user test requirements and also according to the location of the company requesting the testing results (Europe or North America).

Going forward, the industry expects these two groups to attempt to harmonize their work. Eventually, the hope is to see a single test standard evolve.

Also end users will likely, eventually, lean toward using established international or industry standards. It would be helpful to the entire industry if end users and manufacturers of packing, gaskets and valves could eliminate the confusion and cost associated with testing for so many procedures. Until that occurs, packing manufacturers will continue to provide customers with the products they request while wrestling with the costly task of qualifying them to a wide range of standards with varying test conditions.

Figure 3. API 624 test device
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